### Dogon mediopassive, transitive, and causative verb derivation

Jeffrey Heath last update December 2014

#### mediopassive vs. transitive

A number of eastern and northwestern Dogon languages have two forms for many verbs, one with a suffix here called **mediopassive** (or **middle**) and the other with a suffix here called **transitive**. The terms are unsatisfactory but no better labels have occurred.

Both the mediopassive and transitive forms are active verbs, i.e. they have full aspectual paradigms. The mediopassive prototypically denotes a transition into a state, such as 'lie down' (intransitive) or 'put (a baby) up on one's back (to carry it)'. The latter is already syntactically transitive, but emphasizes the state of the carrier rather than the impact on the babe. The transitive derivative adds an external agent: 'put (sb) to sleep' or 'put (a baby) up on the back of (sb)'. The transitive derivative is therefore similar to a causative (see below), but the flavor of mediopassive/transitive alternations is closer to that of ambi-valent verbs like English break (*Y broke, X broke Y*).

Mediopassive verbs generally also correspond to a phonologically related stative verb describing the resulting state, and not marked for aspect, e.g. 'be lying down (=in lying position, prone)' and 'be holding (or: have) a baby on one's back'.

Each language with the mediopassive/transitive alternation also has some cases of suffixed mediopassives or transitives paired with an unsuffixed base.

In some languages the mediopassive/transitive alternation is productive in certain semantic domains, including stance, wearing clothes, and carrying/holding. In other languages the alternation is absent or vestigial. For example, in Jamsay there are a few remaining causatives that continue the old transitive suffix. In such languages, however, there are many trisyllabic verbs that probably originated as mediopassive or transitive derivatives but are no longer segmentable.

Attested forms of the suffixes and indications of productivity of the alternation are in (1). Less common variants are parenthesized. The suffixal vowel quality is often variable due to harmonization with the vowels of the stem ("v" means a variable vowel). Tones are omitted (they are predictable from the morphological context). Predictable nasalization of suffixal r to  $r^n$  by regular nasalization-spreading is also omitted.

eastern			
Donno So	yes (very)	- <i>i</i> : ~ -ɛ: ~ -e:	$-rv \sim -ndv$
Togo Kan	yes	- <i>i:</i> ~ - <i>ɛ</i> :	- <b>T</b> V
Nanga	yes	-yí/é	-rí/é
Bankan Tey	yes	-yí/é	-rí/é
Ben Tey	slightly	-yí/ú/é	-rí/ú/é
Jamsay	no	—	<i>-rv</i> (rare causative)
Toro Tegu	no	—	<i>-rv</i> (rare causative)
Tommo So	no	-iyɛ/e/ɔ/o	-irɛ/e/ɔ/o
Yorno So	yes	- <i>i:</i> ~ - <i>ɛ</i> :	- <i>T</i> Ý
Tomo Kan	yes	[zero or fused]	-ri
northwestern			
Najamba	yes (very)	-yɛ/i	<i>-rɛ/i, -lɛ/i</i>
Tiranige	yes	-yo/ɔ-	-ro/ว- (-do/ว-)
Dogul Dom	yes	- <i>y</i> ɛ/e	- <i>rɛ/e</i>
Tebul Ure	somewhat	- <i>i</i> :~- <i>e</i> :~- <i>ɛ</i> :	- <b>T</b> V
Yanda Dom	yes	$-yv \sim -jv$	-dv(-rv)
southwestern			
Penange	yes	$-ye \sim -y\varepsilon$	-re- ~ -re (-de ~ -de, -nde ~ -nde)
Mombo	yes	$-y\hat{e}: \sim -y\hat{\varepsilon}:$	$-re-\sim -re$
Ampari	yes	-ye	- <i>dv</i>
Bunoge	yes	- <i>Ce</i> ~ - <i>C</i> ε	$-re \sim -re(-de \sim -de, -le \sim -le)$
		[C copied from preceding stem consonant]	

It is likely that the original mediopassive form was  $*-y\varepsilon$  and the basic transitive form was \*-dv or \*-rv with variable vowel.

The mediopassive suffix may also be productive in deadjectival inchoative verbs ('become black/big/...'). However, in some languages it is added to an already transitivized deadjectival factitive verb, resulting in suffix sequences like -nd-iye ~ -nd-iye (Tommo So).

# irregular transitive forms in -ndv

A number of irregular transitive forms with final -ndv (Yanda Dom, Donno So, Najamba) or -nv (Jamsay, Togo Kan, Yorno So) may have been offshoots of the transitive derivation with \*-dv or \*-rv. The typical stem shape is Cv:-n(d)v, probably contracted from \*CvNv-dv.

Yanda Dom has a recognizable transitive verb pattern  $C\dot{v}:-nd\dot{v}$ . Each such stem is semantically related either to a mediopassive derivative (suffix -yv, after a nasla -jv) or to an underived stem. The phonological relationships are messy and idiosyncratic, though we can usually make sense of them historically.

Yanda Dom examples with a corresponding mediopassive are: 2i:ni-ye' (sth) stop' with transitive *i:-ndé* 'stop (sth)', *nún-jó* (< \*nún(ú)-yó) 'get dressed' with transitive *nú:-ndó* 'dress (sb)', and *yú:lí-yé* '(sb) wake up' with transitive *yú:-ndé* 'rouse, wake (sb) up'. In the majority of these cases, the transitive form probably reflects \*Cv(:)Nv-dv with a medial nasal ('wake up' is the only one with a medial lateral). The historical phonological process was probably the loss of the medial nasal consonant, giving rise to a nasalized long vowel (\*Cý:<sup>n</sup>-dý), becoming *Cv:-ndv* after reanalysis.

The cases of Yanda Dom transitive -ndv paired with an underived stem divide into one subset where a similar historical phonological analysis is possible, and a second subset where -ndv may be ancient. An example of the first subset is  $z \check{a} \eta$  'fight' and transitive (here causative)  $z \check{a}:-nd \check{e}$  (\*zàná-d $\hat{e}$ ) 'incite (sb) to fight'. In the second subset is  $b\check{a}:$  'be full', transitive  $b\check{a}:-nd\check{e}$  'fill (sth)'. For Yanda Dom  $g\acute{o}$  'go out' with transitive  $g\grave{o}-nd\acute{o}$  'take out', and  $s\acute{u}w\acute{o}$  'go down' with transitive  $s\acute{u}:-nd\acute{o}$  'take down', see the relevant sections below.

### **M-causative**

Each language also has a productive causative derivational suffix, converting a wide range of input verbs (including transitives) to causative verbs. The causative may be added to an already derived verb (reversive, mediopassive, occasionally transitive).

Productive causatives usually go back to a prototype \*-mo, and I will call them **M-causatives**. Unlike most derivational suffixes, M-causatives often do not harmonize suffixal vocalism to stem vocalism, even in languages where verb stems including other suffixal derivatives normally respect at least ATR-harmony. This points to an origin of the causative as a fused verb chain. Nevertheless, the vowel of the causative suffix is often variable depending on which inflectional suffix follows it, and the vowel is subject to apocope/syncope in some languages. In (2), "v" = variable vowel.

(2)

eastern		
Donno So	- <i>mv</i>	-gvlv
Togo Kan	- <i>mv</i>	-gv, -ŋv
Nanga	<i>-mi</i>	-gí, -ŋí
Bankan Tey	-mí	
Ben Tey	-WV	-gi
Jamsay	-WV	$-gv \sim -\eta v$
Toro Tegu	- <i>mv</i>	-kv, -m-kv
Tommo So	- <i>mɔ</i>	
Yorno So	-mź	-gý
Tomo Kan	-mí	
northwestern		
Najamba	<i>-m</i>	-gi
Tiranige	-mú	-gi -gó
Dogul Dom	-mé ~ -mé	
Tebul Ure	<i>-m</i>	
Yanda Dom	-mź	
southwestern		
Penange	-m(ì)	
Mombo	-mì	
Ampari	-m(i)	
Bunoge	-mì	-gì, -ŋgè

Fulfulde causative suffix *-in-* occurs in a number of loanwords especially in northern (northeastern and northwestern) Dogon country. In those cases where both an underived verb and the causative have been borrowed, a dimly identifiable *-in-* (or resegmented *-nv-*) causative suffix might be said to exist in Dogon languages. Example: Fulfulde *jangi* 'X study', *jangine* 'Y teach X'.

# passive/causative syncretism (M-passive)

In most Dogon languages, a handful of syntactically transitive verbs such as 'see', 'hear', 'get' and 'encounter' use the same suffix as in the M-causative to form a passive of the type 'be VERB-able'.

Examples based on transitive 'get, obtain' (*bèlé*, *bèré*, etc.) are the passives ('be obtainable, available') *bèrù-má* (Toro Tegu), *bèlè-mó* (Donno So, Tommo So), *bèlé-mé* (Tommo So), *bèrè-wé* (Jamsay), *bèré-mí* (Nanga), *bèrè-wú* (Ben Tey), *bě-ṁ* (Togo Kan), and *bèlà-mà* (Bunoge).

Penange has a somewhat similar passive in  $-m\hat{u}$ :, segmentable as "causative" -mand an ending  $-\hat{u}$ : that is also attested in the same sense in one form without -m-, namely  $kan-\hat{u}$ : 'be do-able, be (routinely) done' from transitive kani 'do'.

# irregular causative 'take out, remove'

The verb 'go/come out, exit' reconstructs as  $*g\delta(:)$ , with perfective \*gwe- or \*ge-. The original perfective generalizes in some languages. The corresponding causative 'cause to exit' and in context 'take out (e.g. money from pocket)' or 'remove, take away, get rid of' is sometimes synchronically regular, especially in north- and southwestern languages (productive causative suffix *-mv*). Eastern and some western languages have an irregular causative. One type reflects  $*g\delta:-nd\delta$  (Tommo So, Donno So, Yorno So) or  $*g\delta-nd\delta$  (Nanga, Bankan Tey, Ben Tey, Yanda Dom). Another appears to reflect  $*gun\delta$  (Toro Tegu, Togo Kan, Jamsay). It is possible that the proto-language had more than one of these forms, since in southeastern languages (Penange, Bunoge) even intransitive 'exit' has multiple forms.

(xxx) 'Exit' and 'take out'

language	'go out, exit'	'take out, remove'
eastern		
Tommo So	gŏ:	gò:ndó
Donno So	gŏ:	gò:ndó
Yorno So	gŏ:	gŏ:nź
Nanga	gŏ:	gòndó
Bankan Tey	gó ( <mark>??</mark> )	gòndó
Ben Tey	gŏ	gòló
Toro Tegu	gó	gùŋó
Togo Kan	gŏ:	gǔŋź
Jamsay	<u>g</u> ŏ: <sup>1</sup>	gď:"
Tomo Kan	<mark>??</mark>	<mark>??</mark>
northwestern		
Najamba	<i>gwé</i> <td>gŏ-m</td>	gŏ-m
Tiranige	<i>gó:</i>	gó-m(ú)
Dogul Dom	gě:	<mark>??</mark>
Tebul Ure	gŏ	<mark>??</mark>
Yanda Dom	gó	gòndó

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Incorrectly *gó:* in the published Jamsay grammar (this H-toned form is the imperative).

southwestern		
Penange	gú:ndè 'go out, exit' <i>gó:ŋgè</i> 'emerge' <i>gwé:</i> 'depart, leave'	gó-m-dè
	gwe. ucpart, reave	
Mombo	gwé:	gó:-mì
Ampari	<mark>??</mark>	<mark>??</mark>
Bunoge	gê:, gó:ŋgè, gú:ndè	<i>gòŋgó-mì</i> ('cause to go out') <i>gó:-mì</i> ('take out, remove')
		gomi ( take out, temove )

# irregular causative 'take down'

Another motion verb that often has an irregular causative is 'go/come down, descend'. The intransitive appears to reconstruct as something like \*súgó with perfective \*súgé ~ \*sígé. Leaving aside the synchronically regular causatives in southwestern Dogon (productive causative suffix *-mv*), most of the causative forms ('cause to descend, take down, unload') appear to reflect \*súgú/ó-ndý, most obviously Tommo So and the northwestern languages. Variants are due to nasalization-spreading (\*súŋú-ndó for the Donno So form) and metathesis (\*súnú-(ŋ)gó for Jamsay and Togo Kan). Toro Tegu *sító* is difficult to explain. A contracted variant \*sí-ndé, originally perfective, is reflected in Nanga, Bankan Tey, Ben Tey, and (Nanga-influenced) Pergué dialect of Jamsay.

### (xxx) 'Descend' and 'take down'

language	'go down, descend'	'take down' (causative)
eastern		
Nanga	sígé	síndé-
Bankan Tey	síyé	síndé
Ben Tey	síyé	sílé
Jamsay (Pergué)	sígé	sílé
Tommo So	súgó	súgú-ndó
Donno So	súgò	súŋù-ndò ~ súgù-ndò
Jamsay	súgó	súnú-ŋó
Togo Kan	súgó	súnú-gó
Toro Tegu	sígó	sító
Yorno So	súgó	<i>pá:rá</i> (noncognate)
Tomo Kan	<mark>??</mark>	<mark>??</mark>

northwestern		
Najamba	súgí	súgó-ndí
Tiranige	sígó	<mark>??</mark>
Dogul Dom	sìgé ~ sùgé	sìgù-ndé
Tebul Ure	súgó	??
Yanda Dom	súwó	sú:-ndó
southwestern		
Penange	sígé	<i>jáŋgú-lè</i> (noncognate)
Mombo	sígé	sígó-mì
Ampari	<mark>??</mark>	??
Bunoge	sígè	sígó-mí